

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,544	03/29/2004		Bernard K. Simcovitch	5515	8962	
7	590	05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
Charles I. Bro 2 Bucks Lane	odsky, E	sq.	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE			
Marlboro, NJ 07746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3727		
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C.	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,544	SIMCOVITCH, BERNARD	K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	<u>ebruary 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4-12 and 15-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-12 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are:		jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060	 429

Application/Control Number: 10/810,544 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the brief description of figure 4 does not appear to correspond to what is actually shown. Figure 4 does not show a container. The figure appears to be an underside of the lid. Thus, how could it illustrate a snug fit with a container? Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because it is unclear how the door panel slides between grooves 40 and 42 of the tracks (see page 7, paragraph 3) when one track is above the door panel and one is below the door panel. The tracks are shown in figure 4 to be on an underside of the lid and thus on only one side of the door panel. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,544 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the disclosure as originally filed for two tracks raised inwardly of a second pair of grooved tracks. This is a new matter rejection.

4. Claims 4-12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said top" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

It is unclear in claims 9 and 16 how the door is slid "forward and back" to allow dispensing of the beverage. It is suggested the claim be modified to indicate one of the directions allows for dispensing of the beverage and the other direction closes the window.

In claim 10, line 2, is the structure of "a track" in addition to the track of claim 9?

Claim 16 recites the limitation ""said top" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 16, line 14, is there more than one "underside" of the lid?

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-12 and 15-17 appear to be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any

Application/Control Number: 10/810,544 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Date

amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 10. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The U.	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled t S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Art Unit: 3727

may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH April 29, 2006

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727